

St. Croix Avis

75TH YEAR.

CHRISTIANSTED, V. I. U. S. A., WEDNESDAY 21ST APRIL 1920.

No. 90.

THE ST. CROIX AVIS

is printed and published daily (Sundays and Holidays excepted) by G. Johansen at the Avis Office.

TERMS—50 cents monthly in advance, 2 cents per single copy.

Entered as second-class matter May 26th 1917 at the Post Office at Christiansted, St. Croix, V. I. of the U. S. A., under the Act of March 3, 1879.

Contribution to this paper will be gladly received when the same deals with matters of general interest, and is accompanied with the author's name, as a guarantee of good faith. The editor cannot be responsible for the views of his correspondents nor does he necessarily endorse them.

TELEGRAMS.

ST. CROIX STATION.

(Delayed in transmission.)

London, April 9th—The "Times" says that a crisis has arisen in Anglo-French relations and that contrary to the assurance that the differences of opinion between the Allies regarding the violation of the neutral zone by German troops were about to be composed by a friendly understanding, it appears that the British Govt. yesterday took steps to emphasize their disagreement with the policy of France. During the day the French Ambassador had a long interview with Lord Curzon and soon afterwards, the paper continues, one of the Premier's Private Secretaries, Mr. Philip Kerr, of Bullitt Fame, invited the representatives of the provincial press to Downing Street and informed them of Mr. Lloyd George's standpoint. Simultaneously a private communication on similar lines was addressed to the London press, and various communiques were issued for publication through the news agencies. These statements are understood to have been on the same lines as Lord Curzon's representations to the French Ambassador. In official quarters last evening it was alleged that a note had been despatched to the French Govt. through the British Ambassador at Paris. The substance of the Premier's arguments is that by her occupation of German towns in the neutral zone France had acted against his views and the wishes of the other allied statesmen and without consultation with them. Italy, Belgium and the United States are alleged to have been opposed to the French plan. As

Den dansk-vestindiske Nationalbanks Balance

DEN 31 MARTS 1920.

DEBITORER.	Francs.	Bit	KREDITORER.	Francs.	Bit
Bankernes Garantibeviser....	3,750,000	00	Aktiekapital.....	5,000,000	00
Beholdning af Mønt.....	394,479	37½	Reservefond.....	410,282	80
“ “ Sedler.....	420,260	00	Seddelemmission.....	2,200,000	00
“ “ Fremmed Mønt.....	502,087	65	Sparekasseindskud.....	3,131,457	55
Indfriele Dansk Vestindiske Kreditbeviser.....	313,490	00	Folio.....	3,108,229	90
Fonds.....	299,406	55	Indlaan.....	1,585,719	45
Veksler paa Indlandet.....	596,324	40	Forskellige Kreditorer...	2,588,510	47½
“ paa Udlandet.....	12,877	10	Vindings og Tabs Konto....	847,428	57½
Tilgodehavende hos Banker og Bankiers i Udlandet....	6,421,061	62½			
Forskellige Debitorer.....	2,980,978	05			
Udlaan i faste Ejendomme...	1,139,159	05			
“ mod Haandpant og Kavtion.....	748,490	10			
Kasse Kredit.....	1,224,565	17½			
Bygnings Konto:					
Bankbygningen Frs. 50,000					
Direktørboligen 15,000					
	65,000	00			
Inventarie Konto.....	3,449	67½			
	18,871.628	75		18,871.628	75

AXEL HOLST.

JAKOB TORNØE.

regards Belgium, a Brussels telegram shows this statement to be incorrect. A discussion had been proceeding for some time in regard to various suggestions for dealing with the situation, but no agreement had been reached. One proposal was that German forces should be permitted to occupy the Ruhr are under guarantees to be defined by Marshal Foch. Another was that Allied officers should accompany the German troops, and a third was that matters should be left entirely with the German Government, with the intimation that if the neutral zone was not evacuated as soon

as circumstances should permit, the Allies would claim the right to occupy important German centres. The "Times" adds that in diplomatic circles the view is expressed that the action of France is wrong in form, but right in substance. It is also regarded as a mistake on the part of France not to have employed white troops exclusively in the occupation of the German cities. Independent diplomatic testimony, according to the "Times", is to the effect that the German Govt. is in reality controlled by

[Continued on 2nd page]