

DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Criminal No. 2019-33
	)	
DUANE WOODS	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

ATTORNEYS:

Gretchen Shappert, United States Attorney
Nathan Brooks, AUSA
United States Attorney's Office
St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.
For the United States of America,

Richard Coughlin, FPD
Melanie Lark Turnbull, AFD
Office of the Federal Public Defender
St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.
For Duane Woods.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, J.

Before the Court is the application of Duane Woods ("Woods") to waive his speedy trial. For the reasons stated herein, the time to try this case is extended up to and including November 30, 2019.

While the Speedy Trial Act requires that defendants be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Court specifically

United States v. Woods
Criminal No. 2019-33
Order
Page 2

finds that extending this period would be in the best interest of justice for several reasons. First, an extension is necessary to allow Woods time to secure an expert and provide adequate notice of such expert to the government in preparing for trial. Second, Woods made his request with the advice and consent of counsel. Third, without an extension, Woods would be denied reasonable time necessary to explore plea options and prepare for trial.

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that "whether or not a case is 'unusual' or 'complex,' an 'ends of justice' continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted." *United States v. Fields*, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994); *United States v. Dota*, 33 F.3d 1179 (9th Cir. 1994) ("An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial [even if the] case [i]s not 'complex.'"); see also *United States v. Lattany*, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) ("[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed the trial to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate defense."); *United States v. Brooks*, 697 F.2d 517, 522 (3d Cir. 1982) (holding there was no abuse of discretion where district court found that multiple count, multiple defendant "case was

United States v. Woods
Criminal No. 2019-33
Order
Page 3

complex and required additional time for adequate preparation.").

The premises considered; it is hereby

ORDERED that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through November 30, 2019, shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial for Woods must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

s\ _____
Curtis V. Gómez
District Judge