

DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Criminal No. 2019-15
	)	
JOHN JACKSON	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

ATTORNEYS:

Gretchen Shappert, United States Attorney
Everard E. Potter, AUSA
United States Attorney's Office
St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.
For the United States of America,

Richard Coughlin, Federal Public Defender
Kia Danielle Sears, AFPD
Melanie Lark Turnbull, AFPD
Office of the Federal Public Defender
St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.
For John Jackson.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, J.

Before the Court is the application of John Jackson ("Jackson") to waive his speedy trial. For the reasons stated herein, the time to try this case is extended up to and including February 28, 2020.

United States v. Jackson
Criminal No. 2019-15
Order
Page 2

While the Speedy Trial Act requires that defendants be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Court specifically finds that extending this period would be in the best interest of justice for several reasons. First, an extension is necessary to allow Jackson time to obtain discovery and conduct investigations in this matter. Second, Jackson made his request with the advice and consent of counsel. Third, without an extension, Jackson would be denied reasonable time necessary to explore plea options and prepare for trial.

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that "whether or not a case is 'unusual' or 'complex,' an 'ends of justice' continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted."

United States v. Fields, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994); *United States v. Dota*, 33 F.3d 1179 (9th Cir. 1994) ("An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial [even if the] case [i]s not 'complex.'"); see also *United States v. Lattany*, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) ("[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed the trial to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate defense."); *United States v. Brooks*, 697 F.2d 517, 522 (3d Cir. 1982) (holding there was no abuse of discretion where district

United States v. Jackson
Criminal No. 2019-15
Order
Page 3

court found that multiple count, multiple defendant "case was complex and required additional time for adequate preparation.").

The premises considered; it is hereby

ORDERED that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through February 28, 2020, shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial for Jackson must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

s_____
Curtis V. Gómez
District Judge