

DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS
DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	Criminal No. 2019-19
	)	
THORNE A. OLIVE	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

ATTORNEYS:

Gretchen Shappert, United States Attorney
Everard E. Potter, AUSA
United States Attorney's Office
St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.
For the United States of America,

Carl R Williams
Smith, Williams, PLLC
St Thomas, VI
For Thorne A. Olive.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, J.

Before the Court is the application of Thorne Olive ("Olive") to waive his speedy trial. For the reasons stated herein, the time to try this case is extended up to and including October 30, 2019.

While the Speedy Trial Act requires that defendants be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Court specifically

United States v. Olive et al.
Criminal No. 2019-19
Order
Page 2

finds that extending this period would be in the best interest of justice for several reasons. First, an extension is necessary to allow Olive time to properly prepare for trial. Second, Olive made his request with the advice and consent of counsel. Third, without an extension, Olive would be denied reasonable time necessary to explore plea options.

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that "whether or not a case is 'unusual' or 'complex,' an 'ends of justice' continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted." *United States v. Fields*, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994); *United States v. Dota*, 33 F.3d 1179 (9th Cir. 1994) ("An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial [even if the] case [i]s not 'complex.'"); see also *United States v. Lattany*, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) ("[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed the trial to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate defense."); *United States v. Brooks*, 697 F.2d 517, 522 (3d Cir. 1982) (holding there was no abuse of discretion where district court found that multiple count, multiple defendant "case was

United States v. Olive et al.
Criminal No. 2019-19
Order
Page 3

complex and required additional time for adequate preparation.").

The premises considered; it is hereby

ORDERED that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through October 30, 2019, shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial for Olive must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

s\ _____
Curtis V. Gómez
District Judge