

CHRISTIANSTED THEATRE

8.15. THURSDAY EVENING 8.15

"The Moonriders"

WITH
"ART ACORD" AND "MILDRED MOORE"

Episode No. 10: "THE MOONRIDER'S BRIDE."
Episode No. 11: "THE DEATH'S DOOR"

Due to dissension spread through their organization by the Moon Riders the Ranch Owners Association believes their land is about to be taken away from them. They set fire to the jail in which Baldwin is being held as hostage.

"Artist Moddle"

2 Reels Comedy.

ADMISSION

Reserved Seats.....30 and 40c
General Entrance.....20c.
Children 20 and 10c.

ORDER OF THE DAY

for the 5th Ordinary Meeting of the
Colonial Council for St. Croix,
MONDAY, February 13, 1922, at
ONE O'CLOCK P. M.

1. Production of copy of Minutes of the Municipal Committee for the quarter ending December 31, 1921, forwarded by the Chairman of the Committee for inspection of Members of the Council.
2. Colonial Council Bill No. 14. Continuation of 1st Discussion on Colonial Council Bill No. 14, Draft of an Ordinance, introduced by 4th Member for Frederiksted Country District (Fleming), providing for the repairing and rolling of the Centerline Road.
3. Colonial Council Bill No. 15. Introduction of first Discussion of Money Bill to Budget 1921-22 as additional aid to Kings Hill Poor Farm, introduced by Government.

Colonial Council Office, St. Croix,
February 6, 1922.

ROBT. L. MERWIN,
Chairman.

In order to enable everyone to save the dollar necessary for membership in the Red Cross, it has been decided to extend the annual Roll Call through the rest of February. Membership for 1921 does not make you a member for 1922. If the canvassers have failed to find you, your membership may be taken out at the Red Cross office under the Post-office. If you want to continue the Red Cross work in St. Croix, enroll now.

Correspondence

To the Editor of "St. Croix Avis."

Dear Sir:—The following article was sent to the Editor of "The Herald" with a request for publication, which request he has denied me. Kindly publish same in your valuable paper so that fair-minded people may read and think.

Respectfully

HENRY W. LARSEN.

To the Editor "The Herald."

Dear Sir:—Your note to my article published in Monday's issue of "The Herald" is indeed very amusing, and reminds me very much of the saying, viz:—

"A man convinced against his will is of the same opinion still."

It is a fact that three cases were instituted against Wm. Hodge, and that the third was withdrawn.

It is not for you to decide whether it was a good case or a bad case, or that there was "no case" at all; that could only have been brought out when the case was heard, and moreover, the only right you would have in stating that there was "no case" is if the case was thrown out through some ingenuity on your part as Attorney for Hodge, but bear in mind, it was *NOLLE PROSEQUI*,* not THROWN OUT. But even allowing that it was thrown out, your duty was to be impartial and state the facts as they were known to you.

Also remember that in commenting on cases in your paper you must act impartially as an EDITOR, and not as ATTORNEY for your client as in the cases of the People versus Wm. Hodge; also, in cases of that nature it is The People versus the Defendant, and not the Complainant witness versus the Defendant.

Of course I am sorry to see that your

"consideration" for me prevented you from doing your duty to the masses, but you must always bear in mind "duty whatever comes."

In your effort to satisfy the poor unthinking people you have left yourself open to the ridicule of all well-thinking and unbiased minds.

I expect a bull-dose in the form of a foot-note about a column or so long, but it will only serve to reflect your position more clearly to people who can think.

* "The prosecuting officer" (in this particular case, Government Attorney Williams) "declares the case will no further be prosecuted; but this does not debar a new prosecution."

Dare you then, as a man with some legal training, declare there was no case?

Respectfully

HENRY W. LARSEN.

Christiansted, 6th Feb. 1922

To the Editor "St. Croix Avis"

Dear Mr. Editor,—We are NOT in favor of the proposed change of Sunday and Wednesday nights as flashed on the screen at the Theatre on Monday night, for more than one reason, which we are prepared to give if necessary. If there are some persons who on account of certain engagements find it difficult to attend the Theatre Monday and Thursday nights, we say to them, do as several of us have done, change your engagement nights to suit the Theatre by way of recreation. It is altogether out of the question to have the wish of the minority to rule in this matter. Will some one give us his or her reason why the nights should be changed from Monday and Thursday?

While we are about this, Mr. Editor, a small but important question looms up on the horizon. What about a drop in the prices of admission? We find it very hard at this time when wages and other things are going down to meet the uphill demand of 40 and 20 cents. We are sure the genial Proprietor of the Theatre will take this question up for careful consideration. Until further, we are,

Respectfully,

STAUNCH THEATRE GOERS.

Football.

DREADNOUGHT DEFEATED STARS.

ANXIOUS TO MEET ATHLETIC FOR CHAMPIONSHIP.

In a Foot-ball game played on the Parade Ground on Sunday between the Dreadnought and the Stars, the Dreadnought defeated Stars by one goal to none. Excellent playing was shown by both teams.